180 Montgomery Street, Suite 600 San Francisco, CA 94104

Phone: 415.984.1989 Fax: 650-322-7029

Law Offices of Donald MacIntosh

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUL 3 1 2006



Agenda.

To:	USPTO: Than-Nhan P. Nguyen, Art Unit	From:	Donald N. MacIntosh		
	28/1				
Fax:	1-571-273-8300	Date:	July 31, 2006		
Phone:	1-571-272-1673	Pages:	7		
Re:	KWOK, SN: 10/686,363	CC:			
□ Urgen	t X For Review	nent 🗆	Please Reply	⊔ Please Recycle	
-Comme	ents:	.,			
T	he attached are an Associate Pow	er of Att	orney and an	Examiner Interview	

The information contained in this facsimile is confidential and may also be privileged. The information is intended only for the use of the individual or critity to which it is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are herby notified that any use, dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please immediately notify us by a collect telephone call to 415.984.1989, and return the original message to us at the address below via the US Postal Service. Thank you.

Kwok, SN: 10/686,363

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER A-76718/DNM

JUL 3 1 2006

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

JOSEPH TAK MING KWOK))	Examiner:	Nguyen, Thanh Nhan P
)	Exammon.	
Serial No. 10/686,363)	Group Art Unit: 2871	
Filed:	October 14, 2003)		
For:	LIQUID CRYSTAL DISPLAY WITH ENHANCED COLOR))))	July 31, 2006	
)		

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

EXAMINER INTERVIEW AGENDA AND PROPOSED RESPONSE TO THE FINAL OFFICE ACTION OF JUNE 15, 2006

The applicant's attorney and the Examiner discussed on Thursday, July 27, 2006, our request for a face-to-face discussion between the Examiner and the applicant and his attorney. The Examiner asked that a pre-interview statement be furnished by the applicant regarding the claims and the prior art and this document constitutes that statement.

Kwok, SN: 10/686,363 A-76718/DNM

Further, in response to the final rejection of June 15, 2006, applicant submits this argument and rebuttal. No amendment to the claims has been made. At the interview with the Examiner applicant will show commercial prototypes of the invention illustrating the unique display of colored display segments in the negative mode by the use of the specifically positioned fluorescent layer and with a battery powered device. In addition the operation of the Arikawa patent will be fully discussed and the distinctions emphasized.

A major object of the present invention is to provide a color display of "liquid crystal display segments" without the use of backlighting or color filters. And as stated on page 3 of the specification, lines 14 and 15, "As will be discussed in detail below in one mode (the negative) the segments will have a specific color on a black background." As clearly specified in claim 1 these are the liquid crystal display segments which are sandwiched between a pair of transparent plates. In other words they have the capability of representing an unlimited number of characters.

In complete contrast in Arikawa the pattern 20 is fixed, for example by printing, In the light reflecting surface 18a. Alternatively see Fig. 11 where reels 16 are used to change the pattern. Thus to speak of positive and negative operating modes for pattern 20 is irrelevant since it is fixed and is NOT composed of liquid crystal display segments as stated in claim 1. Moreover with respect to the corresponding display segments of Arikawa for the coloring of these segments Arikawa suggests the use of a color filter.

In conclusion claim 1 distinguishes over Arikawa in structure (no colored display segments by the technique of the present invention) and in function (the color for

Kwok, SN: 10/686,363 A-76718/DNM

display segments is provided by a filter). Claim 1 and its dependent claims are therefore allowable.

Other points of distinction may be taken up and any concerns the Examiner may have concerning the operation of the subject invention can be addressed in the office interview.

The applicant will be traveling to the USPTO for the purpose of this interview from his home in Hong Kong, China and believes the importance of his invention justifies this effort. Furthermore, applicant's associated patent attorney, Jerry G. Wright, will be traveling to this interview from his home in San Francisco, CA. Given the travel schedules and other arrangements involved, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner set a date and time for an interview as soon as is practical and preferably late in the week of August 7 or early in the week of August 14, 2006.

Respectfully submitted,

By

Donald N. MacIntosh

Registration No. 20,316

Law Offices of Donald N. MacIntosh 180 Montgomery Street, Suite 600 San Francisco, California 94104 Telephone: (415) 984-1989

Email: flehrmac@aol.com

Kwok, SN: 10/686,363 A-76718/DNM

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that the foregoing, EXAMINER INTERVIEW AGENDA AND PROPOSED RESPONSE is being transmitted by facsimile to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, at the following facsimile telephone number: (571) 273-8300 on ________, 2006.

Donald N. MacIntosh